

## **REMARKS**

### **Entry of Amendment**

As Applicants are filing a RCE herewith, this amendment should be entered and considered by the Examiner at this time.

Applicants will address each of the rejections in the order in which they appear in the Final Rejection. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's time spent during the telephone interview of June 27, 2006 discussing this application and the pending rejections.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112**

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner rejects Claims 1-16 and 20-31 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While Applicants traverse this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants are amending independent Claim 1 and dependent Claim 3 and canceling Claims 2 and 4-16 and 20-31 (without prejudice or disclaimer). It is respectfully submitted that the amended claims are clearly enabled by the specification and drawings of the present application.

More specifically, amended independent Claim 1 is supported by, for example, the embodiment of Fig. 16 (see e.g. pages 23-24 of the specification). Applicants are showing below Claim 1 as amended with example reference numerals from the drawings for the elements in question, for the Examiner's benefit:

1. (As amended) A camera having an electroluminescence display device comprising:

a substrate (3001) having a first surface and a second surface wherein the second surface is on an opposite side of the substrate with respect to the first surface;

a thin film transistor formed over the first surface of the substrate;  
a planarizing film (3042) formed over the thin film transistor;  
a first electrode (3050) formed on the planarizing film and electrically connected to the thin film transistor;  
an emission layer (3052) formed over the first electrode;  
a second electrode formed over the emission layer,  
wherein the second surface of the substrate has a spherical configuration which acts as a lens.

It is apparent from Fig. 16 that the light emitted from the emission layer 3052 goes through the substrate 3001 and is viewed by a user (not shown). The present application teaches throughout that the lens is located between a display device and a user (for example, see Fig. 1 and the related description in the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4). Hence, it would be clear to one of ordinary skill in the art reading the present application that the lens of the present invention should be located on the right side of the substrate 3001 on the drawing sheet of Fig. 16 (i.e. in the same direction as the point of the arrow in Fig. 16). Furthermore, the specification clearly states that the embodiment of Fig. 16 can be combined with any of the configurations according to the first through seventh embodiments. See e.g. page 24, lines 6-8. In accordance with this teaching, the configuration of Fig. 7B of the fifth embodiment is clearly enabled to be combined with the configuration of Fig. 16, and one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the substrate 3001 of Fig. 16 would correspond to the substrate 703-1-2 of Fig. 7B (and accordingly, the second surface of the substrate would have a spherical configuration and act as a lens).

Further, Claim 1 has been amended to recite a camera having an electroluminescent display device.

Accordingly, independent Claim 1 clearly describes and would enable one skilled in the art, after reading and considering the specification and drawings in their entirety, to make and/or use the invention. Therefore, Applicants have complied with the enablement requirement and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections – 35 USC §102

The Examiner also rejects Claims 1-16 and 20-27 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Takahara (US 6,219,113). This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention is not disclosed or suggested by Takahara. If the Examiner is going to maintain this rejection, then it is respectfully requested that a non-final Office Action be issued showing where each and every one of the claimed features is allegedly shown in the reference.

Since Takahara does not disclose or suggest the device of the pending claims, the claims are patentable over this reference. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner also rejects Claims 28-31 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahara. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

As explained above, each of these claims has been canceled, rendering this rejection moot. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

#### New Claims

Applicants are also adding new Claims 32-65 herewith. These claims are enabled for similar reasons as explained above for Claim 1.

Further, new independent Claim 36 recites a thin film transistor (e.g. 3103) formed over the first surface of the substrate, said thin film transistor comprising an LDD region and a gate electrode partly overlapping the LDD region. This feature is supported by, for example, the Eighth

Embodiment, on page 18 of the present application.

New independent Claim 42 is similar to Claim 36 but omits the feature of a planarizing film. Instead, Claim 42 merely recites a passivation film.

New independent Claims 48, 54 and 60 are similar but not the same as Claims 1, 36 and 42, respectively. The claims, however, differ in the preamble portion of the claims.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that these new claims be entered and allowed.

If any fee should be due for these new claims, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.


#### Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any further fee is due for this amendment, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Mark J. Murphy  
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,  
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, Ltd.  
200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 236-8500

Customer no. 26568